



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2020

Naventi Fonder AB





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
6	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index



Organisa	ational Overview		Principle					General		
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		8	n/a							
00 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							√
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset ✓ Public								✓	
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
80 OO	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	8	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	•	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	8	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	8	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 03	Largest property types	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management		n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance								Principle			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6		
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓	
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓	
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓		
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓	
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓	
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓	
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓	
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓	
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓	
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development		Private							✓	
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓			
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	8	n/a	✓							
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓				
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓		
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓				
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓							
SG 13 CC		✓	Private							✓	
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	~							
SG 14 CC		✓	Private							✓	
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓							
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓	
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	ed <u>a</u> ,								✓	
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI									✓	
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓		
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-								

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation							Principle			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	~						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership								Principle				
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6			
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓						
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓					
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓							
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓							
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓							
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓							
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓							
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓							
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓							
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓							
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	✓ Private		✓							
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓							
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-									

Direct - Fixed Income								Principle				
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6			
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓								
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓								
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓								
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓								
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	✓	Private	✓								
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓								
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	8	n/a	✓								
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	8	n/a	✓								
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	8	n/a	✓								
FI 10	Integration overview	✓	Public	✓								
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	✓	Public	✓								
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	✓	Public	✓								
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	8	n/a	✓								
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓							
FI 15	Engagement method	✓	Private	✓	✓							
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Private	✓	✓							
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓		
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	✓	Private	✓	✓							
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-									

Confidence building measures							Principle					
Indicator	Short description Status Disclosure						4	5	6			
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓		
CM1 02	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓		
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures		Public							✓		
CM1 04	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓		
CM1 05	External assurance	8	n/a							✓		
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	8	n/a							✓		
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓		
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-									

Naventi Fonder AB

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Basi	ic inforn	nation			
0 01	Mand	atory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
00 0	1.1	Select the services and func	ds you offer		
Select the	e services	s and funds you offer	% of asse	t under management (AUM	/I) in ranges
Fund mana	agement		○ 0%○ <10%○ 10-50%● >50%		
Fund of fun	nds, mana	ager of managers, sub-advise	ed products		
Other			0%<10%10-50%>50%		
Total 100%	, D				I I
		Further options (may be sele	ected in addition to the a	bove)	
	edge fund und of hea	s dge funds			
O 02	Mand	atory	Public	Peering	Genera
00 0	2.1	Select the location of your o	rganisation's headquarte	ers.	
Swed	den				
00 0	2.2	Indicate the number of coun	tries in which you have o	offices (including your head	quarters).
● 1○ 2-	5				



○ >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

10

OO 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

O Yes

No

OO 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

00 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		11	732	000	000
Currency	SEK				
Assets in USD		1	225	886	938

☐ Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

00 04.4

Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

☑ Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

Based on your reporting above, your total AUM is between 1 and 4.99 US\$ billion, and therefore your 2019/20 fee will be £ 4,457. Note that your total AUM is calculated by summing all figures provided in OO 04.2, 04.3, and 04.4.

OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General



as percentage breakdown

as percentage breakdown	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
	, ,	, ,
Listed equity	67	0
Fixed income	31	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	2	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

O as broad ranges

OO 06.2	Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].
00.2	r abilett debet blade tillt de pet attached image [optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

○ Yes

No



OO 06.5

Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

00 0	9	Man	datory	Public	Peering	General
	OO 09	.1	Indicate the breakdown of your orga	anisation's AUM by	market.	
			Developed Markets			
	82					
			Emerging Markets			
	18					
			Frontier Markets			
	0					
			Other Markets			
	0					
			Total 100%			
	100%					

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

00 10	OO 10 Mandatory		datory	Public	Gateway	General
	OO 10.	1	Select the active ownership activitie	s your organisatior	n implemented in the reporting ye	ar.
			Listed equity – engagement			

- ☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- $\hfill\square$ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- ☑ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- ☐ We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf



		Fixed income Corpo	orate (financial)	– engagem	nent	
	□W	e engage with companies on e do not engage directly and rs. Please explain why you d	do not require			
		Fixed income Corpo	orate (non-finan	cial) – enga	agement	
	☑ W	e engage with companies on	ESG factors vi	a our staff,	collaborations or service p	roviders.
		e do not engage directly and rs. Please explain why you d		external ma	anagers to engage with cor	npanies on ESG
001	1 N	andatory	Р	ublic	Gateway	General
	00 11.1				ch you addressed ESG inc practices (during the repo	
		Listed equity				
		address ESG incorporation.				
	○ We d	lo not do ESG incorporation.				
		Fixed income - corpor	ate (financial)			
		address ESG incorporation.				
	○ We d	do not do ESG incorporation.				
		Fixed income - corpor	ate (non-financ	ial)		
		address ESG incorporation.				
	○ We d	do not do ESG incorporation.				
		Cash				
		address ESG incorporation.				
	○ We d	lo not do ESG incorporation.				
001	2 N	andatory	Р	ublic	Gateway	General
	00 12.1	report (asset classes re	presenting 10%	or more of	nay report on. Those which your AUM) are already ticed into by ticking the box.	

Core modules

- ☑ Strategy and Governance



RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

□ Listed Equity incorporation

□ Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

□ Engagements
□ (Proxy) voting

□ Direct - Fixed Income

□ Fixed income - Corporate (financial)
□ Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)

Closing module

☑ Closing module

Peering questions

OO LE 01 Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose Public Gateway General

OO LE 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities

Passive 0

Active - quantitative (quant)

0

Active - fundamental and active - other

100

Total

100%



OO FI 01.1

Provide a breakdown of your internally managed fixed income securities by active and passive strategies

T T		
Corporate (financial)		Passive
	0	
		Active - quantitative (quant)
	0	
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	100	
		Total
	100%	
Corporate (non-		Passive
financial)	0	
		Active - quantitative (quant)
	0	
		Active - fundamental and active - other
	100	
		Total
	100%	

OO FI 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report. This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 03.2

Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your corporate and securitised investments by investment grade or high-yield securities.



Туре	Investment grade (+/- 5%)	High-yield (+/- 5%)	Total internally managed
Corporate (financial)	>50%10-50%	○ >50% ● 10-50%	100%
	○ <10% ○ 0%	○ <10% ○ 0%	
Corporate (non-financial)	>50%10-50%<10%0%	>50%● 10-50%<10%○ 0%	100%

If you are invested in private debt and reporting on ratings is not relevant for you, please indicate below

 $[\]square$ OO FI 03.2 is not applicable as our internally managed fixed income assets are invested only in private debt.

Naventi Fonder AB

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Investment policy

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	Applicable policies cover all AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	O Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☐ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☐ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☑ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ Engagement policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following			
	anisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments			
✓ Your inverse	estment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account			
☐ Time hori	izon of your investment			
	nce structure of organisational ESG responsibilities			
☑ ESG inco	☑ ESG incorporation approaches			
☑ Active ow	☑ Active ownership approaches			
☑ Reporting	☑ Reporting			
☑ Climate of the control of the	☑ Climate change			
☐ Understa	☐ Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences			
☐ Other RI	considerations, specify (1)			
☐ Other RI	considerations, specify (2)			

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

We strive to strengthen our focus on sustainability, and invest in companies with sustainable business models. The decision is based on independent sources that provide sustainability rating such as Bloomberg ESG rating. Our management team analyse investments before a purchase as well as during the holding period. Environmental, social, and governance factors (ESG) are considered when investments decisions are made.

We do not invest in companies that produce or are involved in production with tobacco, cannabis, oil, coal, pornography, clusterbombs, landmines, chemical and biological weapons, nuclear weapons, or that fails to comply with international norms. Potential investments are screened before making a purchase.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

We (Naventi Fonder AB) have a responsibility to our clients and to the world around us. We believe integrating sustainability into long-term thinking is a central part to successfully manage funds. By investing responsibly, we strive to improve risk and return long-term.

○ No

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

SG 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

☑ Policy setting out your overall approach



			UR	RL/Attachment
	☑ UR	L		
				URL
		perlinl 20.pdf)		s://howdy.naventi.se/files/uploads/blocks/editor/H-llbarhets-versikt-f-r-Naventi-Fonder-AB-
	□ Atta	achme	ent (v	vill be made public)
□ F	ormalis	sed gu	idelir	nes on environmental factors
□ F	ormalis	sed gu	idelir	nes on social factors
□ F	ormalis	sed gu	idelir	nes on corporate governance factors
✓ S	creenii	ng / ex	clusi	ons policy
			UR	RL/Attachment
	☑ UR	\L		
				URL
				s://howdy.naventi.se/files/uploads/blocks/editor/H-Ilbarhets-versikt-f-r-Naventi-Fonder-AB-
		20.pdf)		
				vill be made public)
	ngagei	-	-	
	Proxy) ^v /e do n	_	-	disclose our investment policy documents
v v	70 00 11	iot pui	логу	disclose our investment policy decements
SG (2.2			e if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an ment of the document.
☑ Y	our org	ganisa	tion's	s definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
			UR	RL/Attachment
	☑ UR	L		
				URL
		perlinl 20.pdf)		s://howdy.naventi.se/files/uploads/blocks/editor/H-Ilbarhets-versikt-f-r-Naventi-Fonder-AB-
		achme		
□ Y	our inv	estme	nt ob	pjectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
□ G	overna	ance s	tructi	ure of organisational ESG responsibilities
ΠЕ	SG inc	corpora	ation	approaches
□ A	ctive o	wners	hip a	pproaches
☑ R	eportir	ng		



URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

{hyperlink:https://howdy.naventi.se/files/uploads/blocks/editor/H-llbarhets-versikt-f-r-Naventi-Fonder-AB-2020.pdf}

- ☐ Attachment
- ☐ Climate change
- ☐ We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Soft-commission is forbidden. The risk manager can not be involved in investment management. The company has adopted a best execution policy.

O No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05 Mandatory Public Gateway/Core Assessed General

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- O Ad-hoc basis
- O It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 07.1

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.



Roles	
☑ Board members or trustees	
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment	
☐ Implementation of responsible investment	
$\hfill\square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment	
☑ Internal Roles (triggers other options)	
Select from the below internal roles	
☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee	
✓ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment	
☑ Implementation of responsible investment	
$\hfill\square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment	
\square Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify	
☑ Portfolio managers	
✓ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment	
☑ Implementation of responsible investment	
$\hfill\square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment	
☐ Investment analysts	
☑ Dedicated responsible investment staff	
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment	
☑ Implementation of responsible investment	
$\hfill\square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment	
☐ Investor relations	
☐ Other role, specify (1)	
☐ Other role, specify (2)	
☐ External managers or service providers	
G 07.3 Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.	
Number	
I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07	
nfirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07	

I co

Promoting responsible investment Mandatory PRI 4,5 **Public Core Assessed**



SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

☑ Principles for Responsible Investment



Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions) ☐ Basic □ Advanced ☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association ☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors ☐ AVCA: Sustainability Committee ☐ France Invest – La Commission ESG ☐ BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board ☐ CDP Climate Change □ CDP Forests ☐ CDP Water ☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity ☐ Climate Action 100+ ☐ Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA) ☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII) ☐ Eumedion ☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI) ☐ ESG Research Australia ☐ Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable ☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN) ☐ Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN) ☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB) ☐ Green Bond Principles ☐ HKVCA: ESG Committee ☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC) ☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR) ☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN) ☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC) ☐ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) ☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES ☐ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum ☐ Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century ☐ Principles for Sustainable Insurance ☐ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify ☐ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance ☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)



☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)

Swesif

☐ United Nations Global Compact

☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

	Your organisation's role in the	e initiative during	the reporting year (see definition	ns)
□ Ва	sic			
☑ Mo	oderate			
□ Ac	vanced			
✓ Other coll	aborative organisation/initiative, spe	ecify		
Montreal (Carbon Pledge			
	Your organisation's role in the	e initiative during	the reporting year (see definition	ns)
□ Ва	sic			
☑ Mo	oderate			
□ Ac	vanced			
Other coll	aborative organisation/initiative, spe	ecify		
Tobacco F	ree Finance Pledge			
	Your organisation's role in the	e initiative during	the reporting year (see definition	ns)
□ Ba	sic			
□ Ac	vanced			
☐ Other coll	aborative organisation/initiative, spe	ecify		
0 Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
SG 10.1	Indicate if your organisation prom initiatives.	otes responsible	investment, independently of co	ollaborative
Yes				

PRII Principles for Responsible Investment

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

upported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your ning may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment al advisers etc.) ncial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment than and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment at events and conferences to promote responsible investment blished in-house research papers on responsible investment the adoption of the PRI or RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.) blished articles on responsible investment in the media PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs) Description Per of Swesif, participating in several forums and meetings. Frequency of contribution or or more frequently				
t and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment by at events and conferences to promote responsible investment blished in-house research papers on responsible investment he adoption of the PRI boral related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.) blished articles on responsible investment in the media PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs) Description Frequency of contribution or or more frequently lly				
petter transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment y at events and conferences to promote responsible investment blished in-house research papers on responsible investment he adoption of the PRI or RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.) blished articles on responsible investment in the media PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs) Description or of Swesif, participating in several forums and meetings. Frequency of contribution or or more frequently				
y at events and conferences to promote responsible investment blished in-house research papers on responsible investment he adoption of the PRI o RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.) blished articles on responsible investment in the media PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs) Description or of Swesif, participating in several forums and meetings. Frequency of contribution or or more frequently				
blished in-house research papers on responsible investment he adoption of the PRI RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.) blished articles on responsible investment in the media PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs) Description er of Swesif, participating in several forums and meetings. Frequency of contribution y or more frequently				
he adoption of the PRI RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.) blished articles on responsible investment in the media PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs) Description or of Swesif, participating in several forums and meetings. Frequency of contribution or or more frequently				
PRI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.) blished articles on responsible investment in the media PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs) Description or of Swesif, participating in several forums and meetings. Frequency of contribution or or more frequently				
blished articles on responsible investment in the media PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs) Description or of Swesif, participating in several forums and meetings. Frequency of contribution or or more frequently				
PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs) Description or of Swesif, participating in several forums and meetings. Frequency of contribution or or more frequently				
Description of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs) Per of Swesif, participating in several forums and meetings. Frequency of contribution of or more frequently				
Description or of Swesif, participating in several forums and meetings. Frequency of contribution or or more frequently				
Frequency of contribution y or more frequently				
Frequency of contribution y or more frequently				
Frequency of contribution y or more frequently				
lly				
lly				
O Annually				
quently than annually				



	ESG i	ssue	s in asset allocation			
SG 13	3	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
	SG 13	.1			rio analysis and/or modellir sset class, sector, strategic	
	□ Yes	s, in or	der to assess future ESG fac	ctors		
	☑ Yes	s, in or	der to assess future climate-	related risks and opportu	nities	
			Describe			
			analysis are used for potention ies that can have an effect o		gs to determine climate-rel	ated risks and/or
	□ No,	our or	ganisation does not currently	y carry out scenario analy	sis and/or modelling	
	SG 13	.2	Indicate if your organisation assets between sectors or		in strategic asset allocation	and/or allocation of
			We do the following			
	V A	Mocati	on between asset classes			
		eterm	ining fixed income duration			

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

 $\hfill\square$ We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

☐ Allocation of assets between geographic markets

SG 16 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

SG 16.1

☑ Sector weightings☐ Other, specify

Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Cash	No process is in place due to non-material asset.

Communication



SG 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Caution! The order in which asset classes are presented below has been updated in the online tool to match the Reporting Framework overview.

If you are transferring data from an offline document, please check your response carefully.

Listed	equit	v - Incor	poration
டுவு	Gquit	y - 111001	poration

Do you disclose?

- O We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

Yes

 \bigcirc No

Disclosure to public and URL				
Disclosure to public and URL				
Broad approach to ESG incorporation				
O Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used				
Frequency				
☑ Quarterly or more frequently				
☐ Biannually				
☐ Annually				
☐ Less frequently than annually				
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested				
URL				
{hyperlink:https://naventi.se/nyheter}				
URL				
{hyperlink:https://naventi.se/ansvarsfulla-investeringar}				



Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- \bigcirc We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

Yes

 $\bigcirc \ \mathsf{No}$



Disclosure to public and URL					
Disclosure to public and URL					
☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy					
☐ Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals					
☐ Number of engagements undertaken					
☑ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic					
☑ Breakdown of engagements by region					
☐ An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives					
☐ Examples of engagement cases					
☐ Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.)					
☐ Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured					
☐ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement					
☐ Other information					
Frequency					
☑ Quarterly or more frequently					
□ Biannually					
□ Annually					
☐ Less frequently than annually					
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested					
URL {hyperlink:https://naventi.se/nyheter}					
URL					
{hyperlink:https://naventi.se/ansvarsfulla-investeringar}					
Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting					

Do you disclose?

- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- $\ \bigcirc$ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public



					the sai	

- Yes
- \bigcirc No

Disclosure to public and URL				
Displacements and UDI				
Disclosure to public and URL				
O Disclose all voting decisions				
Disclose some voting decisions				
Only disclose abstentions and votes against management				
Frequency				
☐ Quarterly or more frequently				
☑ Biannually				
□ Annually				
☐ Less frequently than annually				
☐ Ad hoc/when requested				
URL				
{hyperlink:https://naventi.se/nyheter}				
URL				
{hyperlink:https://naventi.se/ansvarsfulla-investeringar}				

Fixed income

Do you disclose?

- \bigcirc We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No



Disclosure to public and URL					
Disclosure to public and URL					
Broad approach to RI incorporation					
O Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used					
Frequency					
☑ Quarterly					
□ Biannually					
□ Annually					
☐ Less frequently than annually					
☐ Ad hoc/when requested					
URL					
{hyperlink:https://naventi.se/nyheter}					
URL					
{hyperlink:https://naventi.se/ansvarsfulla-investeringar}					

Naventi Fonder AB

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

LEI 01	Man	datory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1		
	LEI 01.1	Indicate which ESG incorporation actively managed listed equities; strategy or combination of strateg	and the breakdow	ombination of strategies you appl n of your actively managed listed			
	ESG inco	rporation strategy (select all that a	pply)				
	□Sc	reening alone (i.e., not combined with	n any other strategi	es)			
	□ Th	ematic alone (i.e., not combined with	any other strategie	es)			
	□ Int	egration alone (i.e., not combined wit	h any other strateg	ies)			
	☑ Sc	reening and integration strategies					
s	Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5% 100						
	☐ Th	ematic and integration strategies					
	□Sc	reening and thematic strategies					
	□ All	three strategies combined					
	□We	e do not apply incorporation strategie	S				
		Total actively managed listed e	quities				
	100%						
	LEI 01.2 Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.						
We incorporate ESG factors to improve sustainability rating of our funds and because of requests from our clients. We screen and look at sustainability rating on potential investments. Investments are also analysed during the holding period. Our strategies are applied on all funds and we do not make any difference based on sector or geographical location.							
	(A) Implementation: Screening						
LEI 04	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1		



LEI 04.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

☑ Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by	
☑ Activity	
□ Sector	
☐ Country/geographic region	
☑ Environmental and social p	ractices and performance
☐ Corporate governance	

Description

We screen companies that we consider to invest in to be sure that there is no production and/or involvement in production of tobacco, cannabis, coal, oil, nuclear weapons, chemical or biological weapons, landmines, cluster bombs, or pornography. We also screen companies to see that no violations of environmental or human rights have occurred. This is also done during the holding period.

☑ Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- ✓ Product
- Activity
- ☐ Sector
- ☐ Country/geographic region
- $\hfill\square$ Environmental and social practices and performance
- ☐ Corporate governance

Description

We strive to invest in companies that work actively with sustainability throigh example green bonds.

☐ Norms-based screening

LEI 04.2

Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.

Clients are notified through annual reports.

LEI 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1



	LEI 05.1	Indicate which processes your analysis.	organisation uses	s to ensure ESG screening is base	d on robust	
	□ Compreh	$\hfill\square$ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.				
	\Box Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.					
	\square External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.					
	☑ Third-part	ty ESG ratings are updated regula	arly to ensure that	t portfolio holdings comply with fun	d policies.	
	☐ Trading p	latforms blocking / restricting flag	ged securities on	the black list.		
		tee, body or similar with represen riews some or all screening decis		ent of the individuals who conduct of	company	
	☐ A periodic	review of internal research is ca	rried out.			
	☐ Review a	nd evaluation of external research	h providers.			
	☐ Other; sp	ecify				
	☐ None of the	he above				
	LEI 05.3 Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.					
	Quarterly or more frequently					
	O Bi-Annua	○ Bi-Annually				
	O Annually					
	O Less freq	uently than annually				
C) Implementa	ation: Integration of ESG fac	tors			
	Mandato	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1	
	LEI 08.1	LEI 08.1 Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.				



ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis			
Environmental				
		Environmental		
	O <10%			
	O 10-50%			
	○ 51-90%			
	⊚ >90%			
Social				
		Social		
	O <10%			
	O 10-50%			
	○ 51-90%			
	⊚ >90%			
Corporate				
Governance		Corporate Governance		
	O <10%			
	O 10-50%			
	○ 51-90%			
	● >90%			

LEI 09	Mandato	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
	LEI 09.1	Indicate which processes your analysis.	organisation uses	to ensure ESG integration is base	ed on robust
	☐ Compreh	ensive ESG research is undertak	ken or sourced to d	etermine companies' activities an	d products
	☐ Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research correct inaccuracies				
	☑ Third-par	ty ESG ratings are updated regul	larly		
	☐ A periodic	c review of the internal research	is carried out		
		d, regular ESG specific meetings vestments team	s between responsil	ble investment staff and the fund	manager or
	☐ ESG risk	profile of a portfolio against bend	chmark		
	☐ Analysis	of the impact of ESG factors on i	nvestment risk and	return performance	
	☐ Other; sp	ecify			
	□ None of t	he above			



LEI 09.3	Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.					
Quarterly	Quarterly or more frequently					
O Bi-Annua	lly					
○ Annually						
O Less freq	uently than annually					
LEI 09.5	Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.					
☑ ESG infor	rmation is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff					
\square ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff						
$\hfill \square$ Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions						
☐ Other; sp	☐ Other; specify					

 $\hfill\square$ None of the above

Naventi Fonder AB

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Overview

LEA 01 Mandatory Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

- O Attachment provided:
- URL provided:

URL

{hyperlink:https://howdy.naventi.se/files/uploads/blocks/editor/-garpolicy-2019-2-aug.pdf}

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- ☑ Conflicts of interest
- ☑ Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- ☑ Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- ☑ Expectations and objectives
- ☑ Engagement approach

Engagement

- ☑ ESG issues
- ☑ Prioritisation of engagement
- ☑ Methods of engagement
- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Transparency of engagement activities
- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Due diligence and monitoring process
- ☑ Insider information
- ☑ Escalation strategies
- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Service Provider specific criteria
- \square Other; (specify)
- ☑ (Proxy) voting approach



	Voting
	☑ ESG issues
	☑ Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
	☑ Methods of voting
	☑ Transparency of voting activities
	☑ Regional voting practice approaches
	☑ Filing or co-filing resolutions
	☑ Company dialogue pre/post-vote
	☑ Decision-making processes
	☑ Securities lending processes
	☐ Other; (specify)
□ O	ther
□Ne	one of the above
\circ No	
LEA 01.4	Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?
○ Yes	
No	
Engageme	ent entered to the control of the co

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1 Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.



LEA 02

Mandatory

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	\square To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	☐ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	\square To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	\square We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	☐ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
	\square To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☑ We do not engage via service providers

LEA 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.



Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements				
Individual / Internal staff					
engagements	Individual / Internal staff engagements				
	☐ Geography/market of the companies				
	☐ Materiality of the ESG factors				
	☐ Exposure (size of holdings)				
	☑ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred				
	☑ Responses to divestment pressure				
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries				
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)				
	☐ Follow-up from a voting decision				
	☐ Client request				
	☐ Breaches of international norms				
	☐ Other; (specify)				
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements				
Collaborative engagements					
	Collaborative engagements				
	☑ Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues through other investors				
	☐ Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues				
	☐ Ability to add value to the collaboration				
	☐ Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration				
	☐ Materiality of the ESG factors addressed by the collaboration				
	☐ Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration				
	☐ Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred				
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure				
	☐ Follow-up from a voting decision				
	☐ Alleviate the resource burden of engagement				
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries				
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)				
	☐ Other; (specify)				
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagement				

 \bigcirc No

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



LEA 04.1 Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff
Collaborative engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration

.EA 05	Mandatory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2	
LEA 0	5.1 Indicate	e whether you monito	or and/or review enga	gement outcomes.		
Individual / Internal staff engagements		Yes, in a majoYes, in a minoWe do not mo	 Yes, in all cases Yes, in a majority of cases Yes, in a minority of cases We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff. 			
Collaborative engagements		Yes, in a majoYes, in a minoWe do not mo	 Yes, in all cases Yes, in a majority of cases Yes, in a minority of cases We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration. 			
LEA 0	5.2 Indicate activities		y of the following to m	onitor and/or review the progres	ss of engagem	
Individual / Internal staff engagements		☐ Track and ☐ Track and are not met	d/or monitor the progre	your objectives against defined objectives and/ ess of action taken when original	al objectives	



PRI 2,4

Additional Assessed

Public

 $\hfill\square$ Other; specify

Mandatory

LEA 06

LEA 06.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

Yes

LEA 06.2	Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.					
☐ Collabora	☐ Collaborating with other investors					
☐ Issuing a	☐ Issuing a public statement					
☐ Filing/sub	☐ Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution					
□ Voting ag	ainst the re-election of the relevant directors					
□ Voting ag	☐ Voting against the board of directors or the annual financial report					
☐ Submittin	☐ Submitting nominations for election to the board					
☐ Seeking I	☐ Seeking legal remedy / litigation					
☐ Reducing	☐ Reducing exposure (size of holdings)					
☑ Divestme	☑ Divestment					
☐ Other; sp	ecify					
No No	No					

LEA 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

LEA 08.1 Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	Yes, we track the number of our engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our engagementsWe do not track
Collaborative engagements	 Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements We do not track

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

LEA 12 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 12.1 Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

• We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.



	'	~~	. A	on	
	751	310	IO E	OH	
_	~		٠.	~	

- Our own voting policy
- Our clients' requests or policies
- Other (explain)
- O We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.
- \bigcirc We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- O We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

We follow our active ownership policy.

LEA	15	Mar	ndatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
	LEA 1	5.1	Indicate the proportion of votes part service providers acting on your bel			
	O 100)%				
	O 99-	75%				
	O 74-	50%				
	O 49-	25%				
	○ 24-	1%				
	Nai	ther w	e nor our service provider(s) raise con	carns with compan	ies ahead of voting	

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2

LEA 16.1

Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.

- O 100%
- O 99-75%
- O 74-50%
- O 49-25%
- O 24-1%
- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 17	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------



LEA 17.1

For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

- We do track or collect this information
- We do not track or collect this information

LEA 17.2	Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings						
☐ Shares w	☐ Shares were blocked						
☐ Notice, ba	allots or materials not received on time						
☐ Missed de	eadline						
☐ Geograph	nical restrictions (non-home market)						
□ Cost							
□ Conflicts	of interest						
☑ Holdings	deemed too small						
☐ Administroplacement)	☐ Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)						
☐ Client request							
☐ Other (ex	☐ Other (explain)						

LEA 19 Mandatory Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.

○ Yes

No

Naventi Fonder AB

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.




ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1

FI 01.1

Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.



	Thematic + integration strategies
0	
	Screening + thematic strategies
0	
	All three strategies combined
0	
	No incorporation strategies applied
0	
100%	

FI 01.2 Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

We incorporate ESG factors to improve sustainability rating of our funds and because of requests from our clients. We screen and look at sustainability rating on potential investments. Investments are also analysed during the holding period. Our strategies are applied on all funds and we do not make any difference based on sector or geographical location.

FI 03	Manda	atory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
	FI 03.1	Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust: orehensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and productivities are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them a			
	 ✓ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and prod and/or services 		oducts		
	$\hfill \square$ Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them correct inaccuracies		em and		
	$\hfill\square$ Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate				
	☐ Internal a	udits and regular reviews of ESG re	esearch are underta	aken in a systematic way.	
\square A materiality/sustainability framework is created and regularly updated that includes all the key ESG and opportunities for each sector/country.				SG risks	
	☐ Other, spe	ecify			
	□ None of the property of	he above			



FI 03.2	Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.
☑ ESG infor	rmation is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
☐ ESG infor	rmation is displayed on front office research platforms
☐ ESG infor similar docu	mation is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or ments
☐ Investmer committee m	nt staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment neetings
☐ Records of	capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
☐ Other, spe	ecify
☐ None of the	ne above
(A) Implemer	ntation: Screening

Public

Indicate the type of screening you conduct.

Gateway

PRI 1

Select all that apply

FI 04.1

Mandatory

FI 04

	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)
	\checkmark	\checkmark
Negative/exclusionary screening		
	V	\checkmark
Positive/best-in-class screening		
	V	\checkmark
Norms-based screening		

FI 04.2 Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income

Negative/exclusionary screening are performed by non-investment managers. Potential and held investments are screened against exclusion lists as well as products we have decided to exlcude.

Positive/best-in-class and norms-based screening are performed by the investment managers. Investments are analysed based on independent sources that provide sustainability rating such as Bloomberg ESG.

FI 06	M	andatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
	FI 06.1	Indicate which systems your of breached in fixed income investigations.		sure that fund screening criteria a	re not



Type of screening	Checks
	☐ Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria
Negative/exclusionary screening	$\ensuremath{\square}$ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.
	☐ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria
	☐ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions
	☐ Other, specify
	☐ None of the above
	☐ Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria
Positive/best-in-class screening	$\ensuremath{\square}$ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.
	☐ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria
	$\hfill \square$ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions
	☐ Other, specify
	☐ None of the above
	☐ Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria
Norms-based screening	$\ensuremath{\square}$ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year.
	☐ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria
	$\hfill \square$ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions
	☐ Other, specify
	☐ None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration

FI 10 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

FI 10.1 Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.

Potential investments are analysed based on independent sources that provide ESG rating and screened against exclusion lists and our requirements before deciding whether to invest or not.

FI 10.2

Describe how your ESG integration approach is adapted to each of the different types of fixed income you invest in.



Corporate (financial)

ESG is integrated into corporate (financial) with the help of independent sources that provides ESG rating and screening against exclusion lists and our requirements.

Corporate (non-financial)

ESG is integrated into corporate (non-financial) with the help of independent sources that provides ESG rating and screening against exclusion lists and our requirements.

FI 11 Mand		atory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
FI 11.1 Indicate how ESG information		Indicate how ESG information is	typically used as pa	art of your investment process.	

Select all that apply



	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis	V	I	
ESG analysis is used to adjust the internal credit assessments of issuers.			
ESG analysis is used to adjust forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates.			
ESG analysis impacts the ranking of an issuer relative to a chosen peer group.			
An issuer's ESG bond spreads and its relative value versus its sector peers are analysed to find out if all risks are priced in.			
The impact of ESG analysis on bonds of an issuer with different durations/maturities are analysed.			
Sensitivity analysis and scenario analysis are applied to valuation models to compare the difference between base-case and ESG-integrated security valuation.			
ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio weighting decisions.			
Companies, sectors, countries and currency and monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits.			
The ESG profile of portfolios is examined for securities with high ESG risks and assessed relative to the ESG profile of a benchmark.			
Other, specify in Additional Information			

FI 12		Mandatory		Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1	
	EL 44		Indicate the extent to which ESC	icauca ara ravioud	ad in your integration process		



	Environment	Social	Governance	
Corporate (financial)	Environmental	Social	Governance	
(midnoidi)	Systematically	Systematically	Systematically	
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally	
	○ Not at all	○ Not at all	O Not at all	
Corporate (non-	Environmental	Social	Governance	
financial)	Systematically	Systematically	Systematically	
	Occasionally	Occasionally	Occasionally	
	○ Not at all	O Not at all	○ Not at all	

FI 12.2 Please provide more detail on how you review E, S and/or G factors in your integration process.

Corporate (financial)

All factors are integrated when potential investments and holdings are analysed.

Corporate (non-financial)

All factors are integrated when potential investments and holdings are analysed.



Naventi Fonder AB

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Confidence building measures							
CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General			
CM1 0	1.1 Indicate whether the reported inform year has undergone:	mation you have pr	ovided for your PRI Transparency	Report this			
□ Thi	d party assurance over selected responses	from this year's PF	RI Transparency Report				
	d party assurance over data points from oth nses this year	ner sources that ha	ve subsequently been used in you	ır PRI			
	d party assurance or audit of the correct im is year)	plementation of RI	processes (that have been report	ed to the			
	rnal audit of the correct implementation of Fed to the PRI this year)	RI processes and/or	accuracy of RI data (that have be	een			
☑ Inte	rnal verification of responses before submis	ssion to the PRI (e.	g. by the CEO or the board)				
(Whole PRI Transparency Report has been	n internally verified					
	Selected data has been internally verified						
	er, specify ne of the above						
□ INOI	le of the above						
CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General			
CM1 0	2.1 We undertook third party assurance	on last voar's PPI	Transparancy Papart				
			Transparency report				
	ole PRI Transparency Report was assured l ected data was assured in last year's PRI T	-	†				
	did not assure last year's PRI Transparenc						
	ne of the above, we were in our preparation		port last year.				
CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General			
CM1 0	CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:						
□We	☐ We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme						
	\square We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report						
□ ES	☐ ESG audit of holdings						
□ Oth	☐ Other, specify						
☑ Nor	ne of the above						
CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General			



CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- O Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- O Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 07.1

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has	conducted the	verification
---------	---------------	--------------

	\circ		4.1	01: (1		
	CEC	or	other	Chief-L	evel	statt

- ☐ The Board
- ☐ Investment Committee
- ☐ Compliance Function
- ☑ RI/ESG Team
- ✓ Investment Teams
- ☐ Other (specify)

